

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,958	04/15/2004	Tamaki Kaneko	1461/72220	2278
7590 02/28/2007 RICHARD F. JAWORSKI Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER	
			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3651	
			······································	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	49-73	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/826,958	KANEKO, TAMAKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Leslie A. Nicholson III ears on the cover sheet with the c	3651 orrespondence address				
Period fo							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) 2-6,8-13 is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-6,8-13 under Uto and Miyake have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see ¶3).

The Examiner, however, notes the apparatus claims (2-6,8-12) recite a function without reciting a means for performing the function. The claims have been examined based upon the structural limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-6,8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano USP 5,449,157 in view of Cook USP 6,491,492.

Kawano discloses an image forming apparatus (fig.1) comprising a sheet processing apparatus comprising a first roller pair (401), a second roller pair (421,422), a jogging tray (426), and open area (area between second roller pair and jogging tray), a discharging device (424), a bulging device (structural relationship that allows the

sheets to bulge from the second roller pair to the jogging tray), and a binding device (ST1), but does not expressly disclose the second roller pair driven to rotate at a circumferential speed that is slower than that of the first roller pair.

Cook teaches the second roller pair (18) driven to rotate at a circumferential speed that is slower than that of the first roller pair (C2/L21-26, 35-41) for the purpose of reducing bruising and buckling of the sheets (C1/L29-35).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to drive the second roller pair at a circumferential speed that is slower than that of the first roller pair, as taught by Cook, in the device (or method) of Kawano, for the purpose of reducing bruising and buckling of the sheets.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie A. Nicholson III whose telephone number is 571-272-5487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,958 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

L.N. 2/27/2007

SUPER/ISORY FUTENT EXAMINER